UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

United States of America)
v.)))
REGINALD WATSON) Case No. 4:12-CR-53-1F
Defendant	
DETENTION (ORDER PENDING TRIAL
After conducting a detention hearing under the require that the defendant be detained pending trial.	e Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts
	—Findings of Fact
\Box (1) The defendant is charged with an offense description	ribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted
of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or local	offense that would have been a federal offense if federal
jurisdiction had existed - that is	
☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S for which the prison term is 10 years or	S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) more.
☐ an offense for which the maximum sen	tence is death or life imprisonment.
☐ an offense for which a maximum prisor	n term of ten years or more is prescribed in
	*
·	had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses -(C), or comparable state or local offenses:
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence	ce but involves:
☐ a minor victim	
☐ the possession or use of a firearm o	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C	. § 2250
☐ (2) The offense described in finding (1) was confederal, state release or local offense.	mmitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a
☐ (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed	since the
from prison for the offense described in find	ling (1).
	ttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety ther find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
Altern	ative Findings (A)
$ \mathbf{V}(1) $ There is probable cause to believe that the \mathbf{v}	defendant has committed an offense
for which a maximum prison term of te	n years or more is prescribed in 21 USC 841(a)(1) & 846 .
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	<u> </u>

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the pre the defendant's appearance and the sa	sumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure fety of the community.
	A	Alternative Findings (B)
1 (1)	There is a serious risk that the defend	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defend	ant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II— Sta	tement of the Reasons for Detention
	I find that the testimony and information	submitted at the detention hearing establishes by
∏ В	-	ne evidence that t to a detention hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that cal e defendant's appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community.
N/ I	or the reasons indicated below their is no consure the defedant's appearance and/or safet. The nature of the charges. The apparant strength of the government'. The indication of substance abuse. The defendant's criminal history.	The lack of stable employment
	Part III—	-Directions Regarding Detention
pending order of	rections facility separate, to the extent page appeal. The defendant must be afforded	dy of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement racticable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody d a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On ttorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility narshal for a court appearance.
Date:	04/24/2012	Dame De Jamo
		Judge's Signature
		DAVID W. DANIEL, US MAGISTRATE JUDGE
		Name and Title

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).